

In the next few lectures we will be talking about several cut problems.

## 10.1 Multiway cut

Consider the minimum  $s, t$ -cut problem:

**Definition 10.1** *Given an undirected graph  $G(V, E)$  with weights  $w(e) \geq 0$  for every edge  $e \in E$  and given two terminals  $s, t \in V$ , find a minimum cut between  $s, t$  (i.e. a set of edges whose removal disconnect  $s, t$ ).*

This problem can be solved by using max-flow algorithms and the following theorem.

**Theorem 10.2 (max-flow/min-cut)** *The value of max-flow between  $s$  and  $t$  in  $G$  is equal to size of the minimum  $s, t$ -cut.*

Now suppose that instead of two terminals, we are given a set  $S = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$  of terminals and want to find a min-cut that separates all the terminals.

**Definition 10.3 Multiway cut:** *Given an undirected weighted graph  $G(V, E)$  and  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$  of terminals, find a minimum weight set  $C$  of edges such that all the terminals are disconnected in  $G - C$ .*

This problem is NP-hard for  $k \geq 3$ . Here, we are going to present a  $(2 - \frac{2}{k})$ -approximation algorithm for this problem. The idea is to take a union of cuts each of which separates at least one  $s_i$  from the other ones. We can find each of these cuts using max-flow.

**Definition 10.4** *A set of edges is called an  $s_i$ -cut if removing that set separates  $s_i$  from  $S - s_i$ .*

Note that if we consider all the terminals in  $S - s_i$  as one single terminal  $t$  then using the max-flow algorithms we can find an  $s_i$ -cut in polynomial time.

**Multiway Cut:**

for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $k$  do

let  $C_i$  be a minimum  $s_i$ -cut

Discard the largest  $C_i$  and return the union of the other ones, call it  $C$ .

**Theorem 10.5** *This is a  $2(1 - \frac{1}{k})$ -approximation algorithm for multiway cut.*

**Proof:** First observe that  $C$  is a multiway cut: WLOG assume that the discarded cut is  $C_k$ , i.e.  $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} C_i$ . Thus  $C$  disconnects  $s_i$  from all other terminals for each  $1 \leq i < k$ . Thus  $s_k$  must be disconnected from other as well.

Now consider an optimal solution  $A$  and consider  $G - A$ . Since  $A$  is a multiway cut  $G - A$  has  $\geq k$  components. Because of the optimality of  $A$ ,  $G - A$  has  $\leq k$  components (otherwise we have removed edges that have created components that don't contain any terminal, we can put those edges back). Thus  $G - A$  has exactly  $k$  components. Let  $G_1, \dots, G_k$  be the components of  $G - A$  and let  $A_i$  be the edges between  $G_i$  and  $G - G_i$ , i.e. the cut  $(G_i, G - G_i)$ . Note that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i = A$  and since every edge of  $A$  belongs to exactly two of  $A_i$ 's:  $\sum_{i=1}^k w(A_i) = 2w(A)$ , where  $w(\cdot)$  returns the total weights of edges of a set of edges. Since  $C_i$  is a minimum cut separating  $s_i$  from the rest of the graph:  $w(C_i) \leq w(A_i)$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} w(C) &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \sum_{i=1}^k w(C_i) \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \sum_{i=1}^k w(A_i) \\ &\leq 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)w(A). \end{aligned}$$

■

Here is a tight example for the analysis of this algorithm: Consider a graph on  $2k$  vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_k, s_1, \dots, s_k$  where  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  form a cycle of size  $k$  with each edge having weight 1 and each (terminal)  $s_i$  is connected (only) to  $v_i$  with an edge of weight  $2 - \epsilon$  for an arbitrary small  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then for each terminal  $s_i$ :  $w(C_i) = 2 - \epsilon$  and therefore  $w(C) = (k - 1)(2 - \epsilon)$ . But the optimal solution is to remove all the  $k$  edges of the cycle. So  $w(OPT) = k$  and  $\frac{w(C)}{w(OPT)} = (2 - \epsilon)\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)$ .

A  $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximation algorithm: chapter 19, using a clever LP formulation. The best known approximation algorithm for this problem is a 1.3438-approximation algorithm by Karger, Klein, Stein, Thorup, and Young (STOC '99).

### 10.1.1 Min Steiner $k$ -cut and min $k$ -cut

**Definition 10.6** Given a connected weighted undirected graph  $G(V, E)$ , find a minimum weight set  $C$  of edges that  $G - C$  has  $k$  components.

Unlike multiway cut, this problem belongs to P for any fixed  $k$ , but it remains NP-Complete for arbitrary  $k$ . There is a common generalization of both multiway cut and min  $k$ -cut, called Steiner  $k$ -cut.

**Definition 10.7 Steiner  $k$ -cut:** given a connected undirected weighted graph  $G(V, E)$ , a set  $X \subseteq V$  of terminals, and integer  $k$ ; find a minimum weight cut that creates  $k$  components,  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  such that  $V_i \cap X \neq \emptyset$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

If  $|X| = k$  then we have the multiway cut problem. If  $X = V$  then we have the min  $k$ -cut problem. We present a  $2\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)$ -approximation algorithm for Steiner  $k$ -cut.

Let  $T(V, E_T)$  be a tree on  $V$  (but may contain edges that are not in  $E$ ). For each  $uv \in E_T$ ,  $T - uv$  has two components on vertex sets  $S$  and  $V - S$ . Consider the cut  $(S, V - S)$  in  $G$ . We call this cut the cut associated with  $uv$ . If  $T$  satisfies the following two properties then we call it a *Gomory-Hu* tree:

1.  $w(uv)$  in  $T$  is the weight of the cut associated with  $uv$ ,
2.  $\forall u, v \in G$ , the minimum  $u, v$ -cut in  $G$  has the same weight as the minimum  $u, v$ -cut in  $T$ .

It can be proved that:

**Lemma 10.8** *we can compute a Gomory-Hu tree in polytime.*

**Steiner  $k$ -cut Algorithm:**

Compute a G-H tree

For  $k - 1$  iteration do:

pick the smallest edge in  $T$  that separates a pair of terminals (in  $X$ ) that are not already separated.

Return the union of the cuts associated with these edges, call it  $C$ .

**Lemma 10.9** *The algorithm returns a Steiner  $k$ -cut.*

**Proof:** Clearly each component generated has at least one terminal. Also, each cut (corresponding to an edge of  $T$ ) increases the number of components by 1. Since the algorithm has  $k - 1$  iterations, there will be  $k$  connected components at the end. ■

**Theorem 10.10** *This is a  $2(1 - \frac{1}{k})$ -approximation algorithm for Steiner  $k$ -cut.*

**Proof:** Assume that  $A$  is an optimal solution and let  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  be the components of  $G - A$ . Define  $A_i = (V_i, V - V_i)$ . Each  $V_i$  has at least one vertex of  $X$ . Choose a terminal from each  $V_i$  and call it  $t_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $w(A_1) \leq w(A_2) \leq \dots \leq w(A_k)$ . We show that there are  $k - 1$  cuts defined by the edges of  $T$  whose weights are dominated by the weights of  $A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}$ . Since each edge of  $A$  belongs to exactly two  $A_i$ 's:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k w(A_i) = 2w(A).$$

Let  $T' \subseteq T$  be the set of edges of  $T$  that correspond to the cuts  $A_1, \dots, A_k$ . Consider the graph on vertex set  $V$  and edges set  $T'$ . Now shrink each  $V_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) into a single vertex  $t_i$ . We obtain a connected graph (because  $T$  was originally connected). Delete extra edges until we are left with a tree on  $t_1, \dots, t_k$ , call it  $B$ . Note that the  $k - 1$  edges that remain in  $B$  belong to  $T$ , too. Put directions on the edges of  $B$  such that each edge is directed toward  $t_k$ . This helps in defining a correspondence between the edges of  $B$  and sets  $V_1, \dots, V_{k-1}$ : each edge of  $B$  corresponds to one set  $V_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ ), i.e. the one which has the terminal that the edge is coming out of in the rooted tree. Consider an edge  $uv$  of  $B$  corresponding to a leaf, say  $t_i$ . By property 2 of G-H trees:  $w(uv)$  is the weight of a minimum  $u, v$ -cut in  $G$ . Therefore, the weight of this edge is at most  $w(A_i)$ . We can now remove this vertex and edge from the tree and do the same argument. Since we pick the  $k - 1$  lightest edges of  $T$  (that separate terminals from  $X$ ), this implies that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w(C_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w(A_i).$$

Therefore:

$$w(C) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w(C_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w(A_i) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \sum_{i=1}^k w(A_i) \leq 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)w(A).$$

■