

C++ Definitions:

based on "C Programming" K.N. King, Norton, 1995.

Class Definition

Defining a CLASS in C++ is much like defining a structure, for example:

```
class Fraction {
    int numerator
    int denominator
};
```

numerator and denominator are said to be **data members**. Note use of Initial case for ClassName (just a convention, not a requirement of C++). Now we are free to use the new class (type) called Fraction:

```
Fraction f1, f2;
```

f1 and f2 are **instances** of the Fraction class. An instance of any class is also known as an **object**.

Unlike structures we **cannot** access these elements with

```
f1.numerator // this is illegal, private member
bottom = f2.denominator // is also illegal
```

March 19, 2001

Page 1

C201/TAM

```
void Fraction :: create (int num, int denom)
{
    // this is an update access function
    numerator = num;
    denominator = denom;
}
void Fraction :: print ()
{
    cout << ((float) numerator) / ((float) denominator);
}
Fraction :: Fraction (int num, int denom)
{
    // this is a 2-parameter constructor
    numerator = num;
    denominator = denom;
}
Fraction f1; // default constructor used here
Fraction f2 (5.3); // using above 2-parameter constructor
```

March 19, 2001

Page 3

C201/TAM

To be accessible the **private** data members need "public" operators. By default data members are private.

Ignoring the possible existence of public data members, let us now consider how public access functions are declared.

Member Function Definition

If data members are private within a class how then can they be updated or even inspected? The answer: via access functions (operators) which are associated with the class. These are called **member functions**.

let us expand our example:

```
class Fraction {
public: // here we have two access functions
    void create (int, int);
    void print();
private:
    int numerator;
    int denominator;
};
```

create and print are prototypes of two functions that access the private members. One possible set of operator declarations is:

March 19, 2001

Page 2

C201/TAM

Then the two commands:

```
f1.create(1, 2);
f1.print();
```

first set f1.numerator and f1.denominator, and then print 0.5

As with all functions in C++, it is possible and useful to provide default values for the parameters to the member, for example:

```
class Fraction {
public:
    void create (int num = 0, int denom = 1);
    void print( );
private:
    int numerator;
    int denominator;
};
```

then we could write

```
Fraction F1;
F1.create (5,3); // set numerator == 5 and denominator == 3
F1.create (5); // sets numerator == 5 and denominator == 1
F1.create ( ); // set numerator == 0 and denominator == 1
F1.create ( ,3); // how about this one?
```

March 19, 2001

Page 4

C201/TAM

Here we have been using the initializer member function "create" to set the values of the Fraction F1. Of course we could have built constructors to do the same thing at object creation time. For example:

```
Fraction F1 (5,3);
Fraction F2 (5);
Fraction F3 ( );
```

```
Fraction :: Fraction (int num = 0, int denom = 1) {
    Numerator = num;
    Denominator = denom;
}
```

A second example using **constructors** and **destructors**

Dynamic storage allocation and deallocation is a place where constructors and destructors are useful. Consider the case where we want to create a genuine String datatype (class), which retains the string length data member.

A String object could contain strings of arbitrary length, instead of being held in some fixed size array.

The String length could be remembered, thus reducing use of the `strlen()` function, which searches the entire string for the terminating NULL character. We can add special string manipulation operators, instead of being restricted to what `<string.h>` supplies.

Our constructor function could be defined as:

```
String :: String (const char* s)
{
    len = strlen(s);
    text = new char[len+1]; // new never fails
    strcpy(text, s);
}
```

after computing the length of the string that s points to, the constructor uses it to allocate enough space for the new string, before finally moving it into place.

To give back the space we need a **destructor**. If we don't have one, then consider the problems of using the String class within a procedure

```
void procedure ()
{
    String S1("abc");
    .....
}
```

when `procedure` is called the object S1 is created by the constructor, but when the procedure returns the fields `text` and `len` of S1 are removed, but the string "abc" itself (that `text` points to) remains. This failure to return all the memory acquired during procedure execution is called a **memory leak**.

A destructor, a function that is called automatically when an object ceases to exist, saves the day. Constructors and destructors are counterbalancing pairs. Thus a destructor is a member function just like a constructor and has the same name, but with a **tilde (~)** pre-pended.

Let us assume that string objects can be declared as:

```
String S1("abc"), S2("pqrs"); // C++
// The C++ case is more general than this
String S1 = "abc", S2 = "pqrs"; // C
```

Anyway, we want S1 and S2 to have these values initially, but to be changed later. Clearly our String class is going to need a member function (constructor operator) that allocates space to our strings and initializes them appropriately:

```
class String {
    String (const char* ); // constructor prototype
    .....
private:
    char* text; // pointer to a string
    int len; // length of string
};
```

For example:

```
class String {
public:
    String (const char* s);
    ~String () { delete [ ] text; } // destructor
    .....
private:
    char* text;
    int len;
};
```

The `~String` member function, specified completely above as "inline" code, releases the space pointed to by `text`.

Overloading Definition

In C++, two functions in the same scope may have the same name. When functions are overloaded this way, the C++ compiler determines which one is needed by examining the function's arguments. For example, suppose that two prototypes of the function `foo` exist in the same scope

```
void foo (int);
void foo (double); // now we are overloading
```

Now

```
foo (1);      // generates a call to foo (int)
foo (1.0);    // generates a call to foo (double)
```

By this means functions which do the same operation, but with parameters of different type may use the same name. This cuts down on the number of names we need to create.

An obvious example might be

```
int power (int x, int y);
double power (double x, double y);
or
sort (int N, char* s);
sort (int N, int* i);
sort (int N, double* r);    // well, perhaps not!
```

Because of this overloading of the identifier `sort` we will need three constructors and three destructors.

Overloading is also useful for initialization purposes in classes.

Here we have formed the new String constructor called the **default constructor**, because it has no arguments, which will be invoked when String objects are declared without a specified value.

```
String S;      // default constructor is invoked
```

In addition to function overloading, C++ also supports operator overloading, where the same operator serves two different purposes depending on the context.

```
cin >> N;      // in C/C++ >> is also right-shift bitstring
```

Similarly, in C++ the << represents not only an output operation, but also a left shift operation on bit strings, as it does in C. The compiler recognizes from the context the intended usage. Different purposes saves on the need to create more symbols.

There are more advanced examples that are useful in ADT applications, but we will leave these for now.

Consider

```
class String {
public:
    String (const char* s);    // constructor
    String () { text = ""; len = 0; }    // overloading
    ~String () { delete [ ] text; }
    .....
private:
    char* text;
    int len;
};
```

with the general constructor declared as:

```
String :: String (const char* s)
{
    len = strlen(s);
    text = new char[len+1];
    strcpy(text, s);
}
```

A couple of points. Why not `text = NULL;` (or `text = 0`) since we clearly want the null string in the overloaded function? No good reason, just a common practice.

Object Oriented Programming

For a language to be object-oriented it must at least include the following three capabilities

Encapsulation

The ability to define a new type and a set of operations on that type, without revealing the representation of the type. C++ classes support encapsulation by restricting access to private data members.

Inheritance

The ability to create new types that inherit properties from existing types. C++ support inheritance through a mechanism known as **class derivation**.

Polymorphism

The ability of objects that belong to related classes to respond differently to the same operation. In C++ **virtual functions** support polymorphism.


Thus we now need to look at class derivation and virtual functions.

Derivation

In C++ we can derive a class from a previously defined one. For example we might need **Circle**, **Square** and **Triangle** objects which can be derived from some general class called **Shape**. If every shape has in common a colour and an x-y position, and if every shape can change its position and colour, then we could start with:

```
class Shape {
public:
    void change_colour (int new_colour);
    void move (int x_change, int y_change);
    .....
private:
    int x, y;    // coordinates of the origin
    int colour; // current colour
    .....
};
```

Shape is said to be the base class, while **Circle**, **Square** and **Triangle** are derived classes. Our aim is to make it possible to re-use code on a grand scale.




If we want to add, say, a **Pentagon** we need only code the part that is different from **Shape**.

This whole process can be generalized to libraries of objects, as illustrated in the following relationship diagram.

Each class has all the properties of its base class, plus others that are unique to the object. For example, the objects in the magnitude class have a common need for a relational operator for comparison purposes.

Let us now look at some specifics to explain how **Circle** inherits the members of **Shape**, along with its constructors and destructors. The basics:



```
class Circle: public Shape {
    ..... // Circle will be based on Shape
};
```

Typically the derived class declares additional data members and member functions. For example a Circle may need its radius to compute the circumference or area, while this may not be relevant for a general shape.

```
class Circle: public Shape {
public:
    .....
private:
    int radius; // radius of circle
};
```

Thus radius is in addition to the **colour** and **origin** members that it inherits from **Shape**.

When one class is derived from another, then C++ allows a base pointer to identify an instance of a derived class: For example a variable of type **Shape*** can point to a **Circle**, **Square** or **Triangle** object.

```
Circle c; // define a circle
Shape* p = &c; // p points to a Circle
```

Thus a parameter of type **Shape*** can match any actual argument that points to a derived member. Although it appears that the following function requires a **Shape** argument, it can in fact receive a **Circle**, **Square** or **Triangle**.

```
void add_to_list (Shape& s)
{
    .....
}
```

Hence `add_to_list` is a highly versatile function that can handle differ kinds of shape arguments.