

#### THE UNEXPECTED CONSEQUENCE OF INCREMENTAL DESIGN CHANGES



Nathan R. Sturtevant, Canada CIFAR AI Chair, Amii, University of Alberta

Nicolas Decroocq, ESM Saint-Cyr Aaron Tripodi, University of Alberta Matthew Guzdial, Amii Fellow, University of Alberta



#### **GAME RULES**



**1.** The goal of the game is to get your snakebird into the exit.



#### 



#### 

Snakebird Primer Level 0 Solution length: 12

Solution length: 14





Snakebird Primer Level 2 Solution Length: 28

#### **INCREMENTAL DESIGN CHANGES**

Exhaustive PCG (EPCG) in Snakebird

Find 1-step change that maximizes the solution length

Players found the resulting levels more interesting and challenging than the original levels



Snakebird Primer Level 2 Modified Solution Length: 41

#### **SELECTED RELATED WORK**

#### **PCG for Sokoban level generation**

(Khalifa and Fayek, 2015; Bento, Pereira, and Lelis, 2019)

#### **ASP for Refraction Puzzles**

(Smith, Butler & Popovic, 2013)

#### **Angry Birds**

(Ferreira and Toledo 2014; Stephenson and Renz 2016; Jiang, Harada, and Thawonmas 2017)

Search-Based PCG (GA) for Baba is You

(Charity, Khalifa, and Togelius 2020)

### **EXHAUSTIVE PCG**

Requires a generator G & evaluator E G: Generator generates all content E: Evaluator evaluates content

**Return the "best" content** 



# **EPCG IN SNAKEBIRD**

**G: For all locations** (width x height) **Change tile to sky/ground/spikes Ignore fruit, exit, snakebird** 

E: Perform Breadth-first search Measure optimal solution length Can choose min or max



Snakebird Primer Level 37 Modified Solution Length: 28

#### **INCREMENTAL EPCG EXAMPLE**





Snakebird Level 44 Solution length: 37

Solution length: 65



Snakebird Level 36 Solution Length: 30

# ANALYSIS

#### **Snakebird**

46 regular levels 6 difficult (star) levels 1 black hole level **Snakebird Primer** 69 regular levels 6 difficult (star) levels 1 black hole level 108 of 129 levels easily solved



### **RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

Does incremental EPCG lead to levels that are significantly different?

# Do humans find the resulting levels interesting?

Snakebird Level 36 Solution Length: 55

# DISTRIBUTIONS



# DISTRIBUTIONS



# DISTRIBUTIONS



## **USER STUDY (ANHINGA)**





**1.** The goal of the game is to get your anhinga into the exit.

**2.** Before you can exit, you must eat all the fruit in the level. Eating fruit makes your anhinga longer. (*Note that your anhinga can stand on top of fruit!*) **3.** Be careful not to fall on spikes, as this will kill your anhinga. But, if you do, the game will undo your move so you can try again.

### **USER STUDY**







Snakebird Level 0

Snakebird Primer Level 4

Snakebird Primer Level 5 (modified to teach spikes & standing on fruit)

# **USER STUDY**



Snakebird Primer 7

#### Snakebird Primer 19

#### Snakebird Primer 26

#### Snakebird Primer 28



# **SURVEY QUESTIONS**

#### For level pairs:

- Which of the levels was most \_\_\_\_ to play?
  - fun, frustrating, surprising, challenging, interesting
- Overall, which of the levels did you most enjoy?

#### Across all four levels, what level did you think was best?

# SURVEY RESULTS

#### Is EPCG variation more:

Fun	Surprising	Enjoyable	Interesting	Frustrating	Challenging
48.3	48.3	55.0	61.7	61.7	63.3
				p<0.05	
Which level is best? 65% EPCG Variant (p≪0.05) 35% Original Level					- +

• + • + •

### LIMITATIONS

Levels are not necessarily better Have to be put in context of game

Evaluator maximizes solution length Other metrics may be better

Humans do not find optimal solutions Can still give humans insight



Snakebird Level 39

### CONCLUSIONS

- Incremental design have a significant impact in Snakebird
  - Level analysis
  - User study
- Online demo available
  - <u>https://movingai.com/</u> <u>snakebird-editor.html</u>
- Working on better mixed initiative / co-creative tools





# **MORE INFORMATION**

- Paper:
  - <u>http://www.cs.ualberta.ca/~nathanst/papers/</u> <u>sturtevant2020incremental.pdf</u>
- Code:
  - <u>https://github.com/nathansttt/hog2/tree/PDB-refactor/</u> <u>apps/snakebird</u>
- Demos:
  - <u>https://movingai.com/snakebird.html</u>
  - <u>https://movingai.com/snakebird-editor.html</u>



#### A DEMONSTRATION OF ANHINGA: A MIXED INITIATIVE EPCG TOOL FOR SNAKEBIRD



Nathan R. Sturtevant, Canada CIFAR AI Chair, Amii, University of Alberta

Nicolas Decroocq, ESM Saint-Cyr Aaron Tripodi, University of Alberta Carolyn Yang, University of Alberta Matthew Guzdial, Amii Fellow, University of Alberta

