Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

Fall 2002

Chapter 10: Multimedia and Spatial Data Mining

Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane



University of Alberta

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

Summary of Previous Chapter

- Introduction to Web Mining
 - What are the incentives of web mining?
 - What is the taxonomy of web mining?
- Web Content Mining: Getting the Essence From Within Web Pages.
- Web Structure Mining: Are Hyperlinks Information?
- Web Usage Mining: Exploiting Web Access Logs.

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

• Warehousing the Web

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

- Introduction to Data Mining
- Data warehousing and OLAP
- Data cleaning

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

- Data mining operations
- Data summarization
- Association analysis
- Classification and prediction
- Clustering
- Web Mining
- Multimedia and Spatial Data Mining
- Other topics if time permits



University of Alberta

University of Alberta

Chapter 10 Objectives

- •Present some applications of DM and KDD in
- Multimedia Data.
- Present some DM applications and solutions in Spatial data.

Outline Multimedia Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining • Confusion with MDM • Mining from Sound • Mining from Video • Mining from Images • Spatial Data Mining © Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002 Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data University of Alberta © Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002 Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data University of Alberta **Many Steps in KD Process** So What Is Data Mining? • Gathering the data together In theory, *Data Mining* is <u>a step</u> in • Cleanse the data and fit it in together the knowledge discovery process. It is the extraction of implicit • Select the necessary data information from a large dataset. • Crunch and squeeze the data to extract the essence of it • Evaluate the output and use it © Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002 © Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002 Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data University of Alberta Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data University of Albert

KDD at the Confluence of Many Disciplines



KDD at the Confluence of Many Disciplines



Confusion



If multimedia subsumes everything, is every data mining multimedia mining?

Are Web mining and multimedia mining the same thing?

No!

Multimedia mining is not mining FROM the Web. It is better to define or restrict the type of media we consider.



Types of MDM



• Use of multimedia in KDD

- Not ≻ real
- Mining multimedia descriptors (metadata) M
- Extraction of features from multimedia for a higher level application
- Pure multimedia mining

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Content-Based Multimedia Mining vs. Non Content-Based Multimedia Mining

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

University of Alberta

• MDM





- Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining
- Confusion with MDM
- Mining from Sound
- Mining from Video
- Mining from Images
- Spatial Data Mining

20

State of the Art

- Not much substantial has been done on knowledge discovery from sound
- Classification of high level sound segments using neural networks.
- Mining speech is usually done after conversion speech-to-text or close caption.

Objectives

- Sound Recognition
- Sound Indexing (improve audio retrieval)
- Sound Segment Identification (recognize themes and melodies in music))
- Noise Filtering (reduction)
- Compression
- Categorization (music/speech, gender, accents, ...)

| © Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002 | Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data | University of Alberta 🛞 21 | © Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-20 | 002 Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data | University of Alberta 🛞 22 |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| | | | | | |

Analysis of Auditory Scenes





Prairie dogs have different alarm calls for different predators → communication language based on pitch (Northern Arizona University study)

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002



Analysis Procedure

- Digitize sound sample
- Segment sound according to some heuristic
- Convert sound segments into properties
- Physical features (frequency, duration, energy, spectrum, harmonics, zcr, formant, prosody, etc.)
- Perceptual features (pitch, timbre, rhythm)

Some Examples

- Enhancing old audio recordings using neural networks (Czyzewski 1996)
- Discriminating between speech and music using nearest neighbour classifier with modulation of energy etc.(Sheiner et al. 1993)
- Learn prosodic patterns in Chinese using decision trees after isolating syllables and pitch (Chen et al. 2000)

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

Case of clustering sound segments

- Digitize sound sample
- Segment sound according to some heuristic - speech: 1/10th sec. intervals
- Convert sound segments to frequency domain using the FFT
- Classify segments using feature identification derived from FFT analysis

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

Unsupervised Classification

- Use of clustering can automate the analysis of sound segment classification
- Needs a robust technique that allows complex similarity metrics to be used
- The ROCK algorithm works well

Clustering Sound with Rock

- We examined:
 - frequency composition
 - harmonic composition
- Devised

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

- frequency composition comparison metric
- harmonic composition comparison metric

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002



University of Alberta

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002 Prine

Results

- Only speech sounds were analyzed
- ROCK works well but is sensitive during the initial clustering phase to θ threshold settings
- Used modifications to address sensitivity issues
 - Trimming (to reduce "gravity" effect)
 - Threshold Searching

Outline • Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining • Confusion with MDM • Mining from Sound

- Mining from Video
- Mining from Images
- Spatial Data Mining

| © Dr. Osmar R. Zarane, 1999-2002 Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data University of Alberta 29 | C Dr. Osmar R. Zaiane, 1999-2002 Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data University of Alberta |
|---|--|
| • Very complex problem | Objectives |
| Very complex problem Multiple channels (motion pictures, polyphonic sound, text) Most application concentrate on the motion images and ignore other channels Manual annotation and segmentation Use of closed captioning (text) | Automatic annotation Segmentation Identification of objects Spatio-temporal patterns (path traversals, tracking,) Understand (discover) general trends in movies |

Converting Video to Still Images



Examples of Video Mining



© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

University of Alberta

Examples of Video Mining

- Detecting Narrative structure of news broadcasts
 - Uses dedicated tools for video segmentation
 - Use closed captioning
 - Classifies segments into: anchor shot, footage with voice over, or sound bite

University of Alberta

- Used for retrieval or browsing
- Shearer et al. 2000

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

Examples of Video Mining

- Tracking Pedestrians (Papageorgio et al. 1998)
 - Wavelet transf. In gray scale of F S R sides
 - Learning with Support Vector Machine classifier



© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

Examples of Video Mining



© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

- Mining commercial movies
 - Extracting content features such as class of events (violence, happiness...), explosion, rudeness, etc.
 - Expressing sequences of events
 - Correlating with metadata (actors, box office, budget, etc.) to discover rules
 - If ending=s(explosion, violence) \rightarrow W1 > \$5M
 - Daniel Barbara et al. 2000

Outline



University of Alberta

- Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining
- Confusion with MDM
- Mining from Sound
- Mining from Video
- Mining from Images
- Spatial Data Mining

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002



Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

- Identification of objects is difficult
- Diverse image modeling approaches
- Manual annotation is common (semantic descriptors)
- Classification of images/objects
- Clustering of images/objects
- Association rule mining for image content



University of Alberta

Objectives

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

- Discrimination of images (small number of classes)
- Grouping of images (clustering)
- Recognize (compound) objects
- Enumerate (estimate) objects
- Determine good image models for image interpretation and indexing

Analysis Procedure

- Collection and retrieval phase (Collecting images)
- **Image selection** (Choosing relevant images for the task at hand)
- **Image pre-processing** (Extracting visual features)
- **Mining** (Discovering patterns at individual image level of image group level)
- **Analysis** (validating and interpreting the discovered patterns)

Mining in Image & Raster

Databases

- Magellan project (Fayyad et al.'96, JPL).
 - identify volcanos on Venus surface
 - over 30,000 high resolution images
 - Resolution accuracy: 80%
 - 3 steps: data focusing, feature extraction, and classification learning
- POSSII project (Palomar Obervatory Sky Survey II,)
 - 2 x 10⁹ stellar objects (galaxies, stars, etc.) classified
 - Resolution:one magnitude better than in previous studies
 - Classification accuracy: no normalization 75%, with normalization 94%, and compared with neural networks.
- QuakeFinder (Stolorz et al'96): Find earth quakes from space.
 - using statistics, massive parallelism, and global optimization



Digital Mammograms

- Mammograms are difficult to read even by specialists due to low contrast and different types of tissue.
- In order to extract visual features Image enhancement is necessary



Improving the Quality of Images

- Digitization introduces noise
- Inconsistent illumination conditions
- Inconsistent sizes and distributions

Automatic Cropping: Removes unwanted parts and artifacts. **Enhancement:** Diminishes the effect of over brightness and over darkness. Histogram equalization to increase contrast range.







Histogram Equalization

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Cropping
Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

University of Alberta (2). 46



Association Rules

- Association rule mining aims at discovering associations between items in a transactional database.
- Given D={T₁...T_n} a set of transactions and I={i₁...i_n} a set of items such that any T_i in D is a set of items in I.
- An association rule is an implication A→B where A and B are subsets of T_i given some support and confidence thresholds.
- In our case T is an image and the items are the extracted features in addition to the known class label



Transaction (IID, **class**, F_1 , F_2 , F_3 , ..., F_f)



Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

Association Rules With Constraints

- We want to find associations between extracted features and class labels
- Constrain the association rule mining such that the interesting rules A→B are such that the consequent B is always a class label and the antecedent A is always a conjunction of extracted features.
- We used a constrained version of apriori algorithm to find frequent itemsets.



Data Set



- We used a dataset from the mammographic Image Analysis Society (MIAS).
- It was used in other published research.
- The corpus consists of 322 images with 208 normal, 63 benign and 51 malign.
- Location of abnormality, radius, breast position, type of breast tissue, tumor type...
- Notice that these attributes are available for the training set but are not available with images to classify → Shall not be used in testing phase.



Some Results

- Use 90% of images for training and 10% for testing.
- We considered 10 splits of the image collection.

Association Rule-based

| Database split | Success ratio (percentage) |
|----------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | 96.87 |
| 2 | 90.62 |
| 3 | 90.62 |
| 4 | 78.125 |
| 5 | 81.25 |
| 6 | 84.375 |
| 7 | 65.625 |
| 8 | 75 |
| 9 | 56.25 |
| 10 | 93.75 |
| | Average: 81.25 |

Neural Network-based



- Average high but inconsistent accuracy
- Performed extremely well compared to other methods in literature

consistent accuracysupport at 10% and no confidence

close to other results in literature

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data University



Observations

- Pre-processing and feature extraction is very important and influences the accuracy rates.
- Association rule-based classifier is sensitive to the unbalance and size of dataset.
- We made experiments with AR on equilibrated distributions of normal and abnormal with split used in Christoyianni et al. (84.09% versus 75.2%)



© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002



Associations in Medical Images



• Associations: presence of lesions, relative positions and spatial relationships.

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

• Associations with diagnoses and attributes in patient records.

University of Alberta



Locales and Their Features



| $A^{\circ} \cap B^{\circ}$ | $\delta A \cap \delta B$ | $\delta A \cap B^\circ$ | $A^{\circ} \cap \delta B$ | A disjoint B | B |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------|
| ¯ | Ø | ¯ | Ø | A inside B | A |
| ¯ | Ø | Ø | ٦Ø | A contains B | AB |
| -ø | ¯ | Ø | Ø | A equals B | (A B) |
| ø | ¯ | Ø | Ø | A meets B | BA |
| ⊸ø | ٦Ø | ¯ | Ø | A covered by B | B |
| ¯ | ¯ | Ø | ¯ | A covers B | A |
| ¯ | ¯ | ¯ | ¯ | A overlaps B | B |

Association Rules

Multimedia Association Rule with Recurrent Items: associate visual object features in images or video frames:

 $\alpha_1 \mathbf{P}_1 \land \alpha_2 \mathbf{P}_2 \land \dots \land \alpha_n \mathbf{P}_n \to \lambda_1 \mathbf{Q}_1 \land \lambda_2 \mathbf{Q}_2 \land \dots \land \lambda_m \mathbf{Q}_m$ (C%)

 P_{j} , $i \in [1..n]$, Q_{j} , $j \in [1..m]$ are predicates instantiated to topological, visual and kinematics descriptors, α_{i} and λ_{j} are integers, αP is true iff P has α occurrences, and c is the confidence.

How to Find Associations

- Find all frequent items (more frequent than minimum support);
- Combine frequent items into itemsets;
- Find frequent itemsets;
- Use frequent itemsets for produce association rules.
- ➔ The problem is to find frequent itemsets Most famous algorithm is Apriori (R. Agrawal 1994). There are many other variations and improvements. However, Apriori misses all item-sets with recurrent items. The re-occurrence of an object can be more significant that its existence in the image.

University of Alberta 🛞 59

Transaction-Based vs. Object-Based

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

Transaction-based support:

Support of an object is the percentage of transactions containing the object. (Number of T_{ob} / Number of Transactions)

Object-based support:

Support of an object is the percentage of objects equal to the object. (Number of Object occurrences/ Number of Objects)

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Finding Itemsets with Reoccurring Items

- Devised a new algorithm MaxOccur (zaiane ICDE'2000) (variation of Apriori)
- Finds the maximum time an object can reoccur in an image
- Us max occurrence to increase candidate itemsets during the join

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

University of Alberta

Average frequent itemsets found

MaxOccur

148

E Fk

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

109

Naïve

University of Alberta

148

61

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

> 60 40

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

MaxOccu

Multimedia Association Rules With Recurrent Spatial Relationships

- A spatial relationship is a relationship between 2 objects.
- A spatial relationship is frequent only if the pair of objects is frequent.
- A pair of objects is frequent only if each object is frequent.

Overlap (O_1, O_2) frequent \rightarrow (O_1, O_2) frequent \rightarrow O_1 and O_2 frequent

1. Calculate Frequent Atomic Items;

2. Calculate Frequent Pairs of Atomic Items;

3. Calculate Frequent combinations of Spatial Predicates and Pairs of Atomic Items;

4. Use the set in (3) as Frequent 1-item-sets and Call MaxOccur.

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

University of Alberta



Progressive Resolution Refinement

 $i=0; D_0 =D; \\ while (i < maxResLevel) do \{ \\ R_i = \{ sufficiently frequent item-sets at resolution i \} \\ i=i+1; D_i = Filter(D_{i-1}, R_{i-1}); \\ From Resc.$

Minimum bounding

circles

From Coarse to Fine Resolution Mining

Progressively mine finer resolutions only on candidate frequent itemsets





© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Coarse resolution

Fine

esoluti

Feature

Localization

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

Tile Size



The topological relation between two areas A and B at any resolution level is defined by a matrix \mathcal{R} :

BA

A inside B

$$\mathcal{R}(A,B) = \begin{pmatrix} A^{\circ} \cap B^{\circ} & \delta A \cap B^{\circ} \\ A^{\circ} \cap \delta B & \delta A \cap \delta B \end{pmatrix}$$

A inside $B \rightarrow A^{\circ} \cap B^{\circ} = \neg \emptyset$ and $\delta A \cap B^{\circ} = \neg \emptyset$ and $A^{\circ} \cap \delta B = \emptyset$ and $\delta A \cap \delta B = \emptyset$

Given *a* and *b* higher resolutions of **A** and **B**.

 $A^{\circ} \cap B^{\circ} = \neg \emptyset \Rightarrow a^{\circ} \cap b^{\circ} = \neg \emptyset$ $\delta A \cap B^\circ = \neg \emptyset \rightarrow \delta a \cap b^\circ = \neg \emptyset$ $A^{\circ} \cap \delta B = \emptyset \Rightarrow a^{\circ} \cap \delta b = \emptyset \text{ or } a^{\circ} \cap \delta b = \neg \emptyset$ $\delta A \cap \delta B = \emptyset \rightarrow \delta a \cap \delta b = \emptyset \text{ or } \delta a \cap \delta b = \neg \emptyset$ -Ø -Ø ¯ ¯ ¯ ¯ or **R**(a,b)= or ¯ Ø Ø © Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002 Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data University of Alberta (2) 66

Resolution Refinement With Tile





2002 Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

University of Alberta

67

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Summary

- Multimedia data mining one phase, in the knowledge discovery process, that extract implicit patterns from large multimedia content.
- Multimedia mining vs. text mining, web mining, spatial mining.
- Usually: video, images, sound.

Outline



University of Alberta

- Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining
- Confusion with MDM
- Mining from Sound
- Mining from Video
- Mining from Images
- Spatial Data Mining



Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

Section Objectives

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

- Introduce two algorithms for KD in large Spatial DB Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization Spatial-Data-Dominated Generalization
- Show KD has wide applications in Spatial DB.

Spatial Data Mining

• Data in Spatial Database:

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

• Non-Spatial component - usual data, stored in relational DB.

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

- <u>Spatial component</u> multi-dimensional, stored in spatial data structures. Spatial data: maps, images from satellites, video cameras, and medical equipment, etc.
- Knowledge Discovery in Spatial BD: is the extraction of Interesting spatial patterns and features, General relationships between spatial and non-spatial data, and Other implicit general data characteristics.



University of Alberta

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Motivation

- Spatial data availability
- Human limitation
- Needs:

Knowledge from spatial data is crucial in development of Geographical information system Medical imaging and robotics systems

Primitives and assumptions

Assumption 1:

• The spatial DB store a large amount, info-rich, relatively reliable and stable data.

Assumption 2:

 A knowledge discovery process is initialized by user's learning request - command-driven discovery.

Assumption 3:

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

 Strong background knowledge support - conceptual hierarchy information available.

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002 Principles of

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

University of Alberta 🛞 73

Primitives and Assumptions (con't)

To confine the research to a well-defined domain:

- Rules to be extracted are general data characteristics and/or relationships - generalization rules.
- The spatial database consists of both spatial and nonspatial data. Spatial objects and their associated nonspatial info. are linked to each other.

Spatial Object Representations

In spatial database:

- Spatial data is stored in thematic maps.
- Each thematic map contains a set of spatially ordered objects.
- Each spatial object has a spatial component and nonspatial component,
- Spatial object can be denoted as < geometry, attribute>



© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

APPROACH

• Task:

Discover generalization rules from data in Spatial DB.

Discovered knowledge should be represented by high level concepts with a small number of disjuncts.

• Underlining notion:

To extract general knowledge from spatial DB, generalization needs to be performed on <u>both spatial and non-spatical data</u>. <u>When one of the components is generalized, the other</u> <u>component will be adjusted accordingly</u>.

Algorithms

Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization Spatial-Data-Dominated Generalization



Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

Algorithm I: Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Input:

i) A spatial database consisting of a set of nonspatial data and a spatial map.

ii) A learning request

iii) a set of concept hierarchies

• Output:

A rule that characterizes the general properties/relationships of spatial objects.

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

University of Alberta (2)

Algorithm I:

Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

- Method:
 - 1. Collect the set of task-relevant nonspatial data by SQL query
 - 2. Perform attribute-oriented induction repeatedly on the collected nonspatial data by *ascending the concept hierarchy, merging identical tuples until desired concept level reached, collecting spatial object pointers.*
 - 3.Generalize the spatial data Retrieve the spatial objects for each generalized nonspatial tuple, Perform spatial merge.
 - 4. Output the generalized rule or the relationship between the generalized nonspatial and spatial data

Algorithm I: Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Algorithm Summary:

The learning process generalizes nonspatial data attributes by concept hierarchy ascension and consolidation of adjacent spatial objects with similar attribute values.

Genaralization terminates when the generalized concept level reaches the desired concept level.



University of Alberta

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Task:

To report general precipitation pattern zones of British Columbia in spring 1990.

• Input:

The spatial DB that stores a map of British Columbia with a set of weather stations (8) scattered around the province.

Climate data that contains average monthly precipitation for each of the eight regional stations.

• Learning Process:

Perform generalization on nonspatial attribute *precipitation* first using concept hierarchy, then merge crresponding spatial objects accordingly.

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

University of Alberta (2) 81

Example: Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Precipitation data (in inch) of 1990

| Region | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | yr total |
|-----------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|
| Nanaimo | 6.37 | 4.36 | 3.99 | 2.05 | 1.47 | 1.55 | 0.91 | 1.01 | 1.73 | 4.19 | 6.06 | 7.11 | 41.25 |
| Van. | 8.6 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 59.8 |
| Victoria | 11.12 | 9.74 | 5.15 | 2.68 | 2.51 | 1.07 | 0.42 | 2.42 | 0.95 | 2.69 | 2.64 | 4.36 | 45.75 |
| P. Rupert | 9.8 | 7.6 | 8.4 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 7.7 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 11.3 | 95.16 |
| D. Creek | ••• | ••• | ••• | ••• | ••• | ••• | ••• | | ••• | ••• | | ••• | |
| P. George | ••• | ••• | ••• | | ••• | ••• | ••• | ••• | | | | ••• | |
| Kamloops | ••• | ••• | ••• | | | ••• | ••• | ••• | ••• | | | ••• | |
| Penciton | ••• | ••• | ••• | | ••• | ••• | ••• | ••• | | | | ••• | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

University of Alberta (

Example:



Example:

Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

 Two Concept Hierarchies: Year-season-month time hierarchy Precipitation concept hierarchy



Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Two Concept Hierarchies:

Year-season-month time hierarchy Precipitation concept hierarchy

High-level precipitation concept hierarchy

| very dry | dry | moderately dry | fair | moderately wet | wet | very wet |
|----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|------------|
| (v.d.) | (d.) | (m.d.) | (f.) | (m.w.) | (w.) | (v.w.) |
| [0-0.1]* | [0.1-0.3] | [0.3-1.0] | [1.0-1.2] | [1.2-2.0] | [2.0-5.0] | [5.0 & up] |

University of Alberta

85

*: Unit: inch

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Example:

Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• User's Query:

Report general precipitation pattern zones of B.C. in spring 1990.

extract region
from precipitation-map
where province = "B.C." and period = "spring" and year = 1990
in relevance to precipitation and region

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

University of Alberta 😰 86

Example:

Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Year-season-month time concept hierarchy



Example: Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• The relevant precipitation data of the regions and its generalization:



© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• The relevant precipitation data of the regions and its generalization:

| Region | Mar. | Apr. | May | Summer | High-level Concep |
|-----------|------|------|------|--------|-------------------|
| Nanaimo | 3.99 | 2.50 | 1.47 | 2.85 | wet |
| Vancouver | 5.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 4.1 | wet |
| Victoria | 5.15 | 2.68 | 3.51 | 3.43 | wet |
| | | | | | • |

Precipitation concept hierarchy

| very dry | dry | moderately dry | fair | moderately wet | wet | very wet |
|----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|------------|
| (v.d.) | (d.) | (m.d.) | (f.) | (m.w.) | (w.) | (v.w.) |
| [0-0.1] | [0.1-0.3] | [0.3-1.0] | [1.0-1.2] | [1.2-2.0] | [2.0-5.0] | [5.0 & up] |

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

University of Alberta (2) 89

Example: Nonspatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Learning result of precipitation in spring 1990 for B.C.



Algorithm II: Spatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Input:

i) A spatial database consisting of a set of nonspatial data and a spatial map,

ii) a learning request,

iii) a set of concept hierarchies,

iv) a spatial hierarchy,

• Output:

A rule that characterizes the general properties/relationships of spatial objects.

Algorithm II: Spatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Method:

1. Collect the set of task-relevant spatial data by SQL query,

2. Perform spatial-oriented induction on the collected spatial data by spatial hierarchy ascension

cluster spatial data objects according to their regions,

merge the corresponding nonspatial pointers,

repeat the two steps above until the number of generalized spatial objects is within the threshold.

3.Retrieve nonspatial data, generalize nonspatial data for each spatial object,

4. Output the generalized rule or the relationship between the generalized nonspatial and spatial data.



Algorithm II: Spatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Algorithm Summary:

The learning process utilizes the spatial hierarchy to obtain generalized objects. The generalized attribute value of the new object is obtained by climbing up the attribute concept hierarchy to find a minimal concept which subsumes the attribute values of the corresponding sub-objects.

Example: Spatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Task:

To report general temperature pattern in pre-specified regions of British Columbia for summer 1990.

• Input:

The spatial DB that stores a map of British Columbia with a set of weather stations scattered around the province.

Climate data that contains min., max., and average monthly temperature for each of the regional stations.



• User's Query:

Report general temperature pattern in Spring 1990 for B.C.

extract characteristic rule

from temperature-map

where province = "B.C." and period = "summer" and year = 1990 in relevance to temperature and region • High-level temperature concepts:

| very cold | cold | moderately cold | mild | moderately hot | hot (h.) | very hot |
|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|----------------|----------|----------|
| (v.c.) | (c.) | (m.c.) | (m.) | (m.h.) | | (v.h.) |
| 5 & below | [-5-10] | [10-32] | [32-50] | [50-70] | [70-90] | 90 & up |



© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Example: Spatial-Data-Dominated Generalization



Example: Spatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

South-Central region of B.C.: with 16 cities marked as R1 - R16

| R1 | R2 | R5 | R6 |
|------------|------------|-----|-------------|
| R3 | R 1 | R7 | R8 |
| R 9 | R10 | R13 | R 14 |
| R11 | R12 | R15 | R16 |

```
© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002
```

University of Alberta (2) 98

Example: Spatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Clustered South-Central region of B.C.



University of Alberta

99

Example:

Spatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Further clustered South-Central region of B.C.



© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Spatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Temperature data for south-central region:

| Region | June | July | Aug. | summer | |
|-------------------|---------------|------|------|--------|-----------------------|
| R1 | 62 | 67 | 64 | 64.3 | ~ |
| R2 | 68 | 65 | 64 | 65.7 | |
| R3 | 60 | 64 | 63 | 62.3 | |
| R4 | | | | 63.3 | |
| R5 | | | | 67.5 | |
| R6 | | | | 59.7 | |
| R7 | | | | 59.3 | |
| R8 | | | | 60.2 | |
| R9 | | | | 58.7 | Avg.: 62.8 |
| R10 | | | | 68 | |
| R11 | | | | 61.8 | |
| R12 | | | | 59.4 | |
| R13 | | | | 67.3 | |
| R14 | | | | 67.4 | |
| R15 | | | | 63.5 | |
| R16 | | | | 61.3 | ノ |
| Dr. Osmar R. Zaïa | ne, 1999-2002 | | | | University of Alberta |

Example: Spatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Genaralized temperature info.:

| Region | Temperature | |
|---------------|-----------------|--|
| North-West | mild | |
| North-Central | moderately cold | |
| North-East | mild | |
| Mid-West | mild | |
| Central | moderately hot | |
| Mid-East | hot | |
| South-West | mild | |
| South-Central | moderately hot | |
| South-East | very hot | |
| | | |

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

University of Alberta 🧊

Example: Spatial-Data-Dominated Generalization

• Generalized temperature pattern of B.C., summer, 1990.



EXTENSIONS

• Interleaved Generalization:

To achieve satisfactory results with reasonable performance.

- 1. perform nonspatial generalization to certain level,
- 2. perform high-level spatial merge,
- 3. repeat the two steps above until satisfactory results reached.

• Generalization on Multiple Thematic Maps:

To handle generalization on more than one thematic maps.

1. generalize each map based on the generalized properties,

2. apply spatial merge on the overlap of the maps to find the regions with generalized properties.



Spatial OLAP (Comparison)

• Comparing different classes of data



Spatial Association





Spatial Clustering

- How can we cluster points?
- What are the distinct features of the clusters?



Future Research

- Foundation of spatial data warehousing and data mining.
- Implementation methods:
 - Efficient construction of spatial data cubes.
 - A set of well-tuned spatial data mining operators.
 - Spatial data and knowledge visualization tools.
 - Integration of multiple mining tasks with OLAP functions.
- New spatial indexing techniques for spatial data warehousing and spatial mining.
- New spatial data mining methodologies: Statistical tools, neural nets, and ad-hoc query-based mining, etc.
- Mining spatiotemporal data, raster data, and integration with existing spatial analysis techniques.

| © Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, | 1999-2002 | Princip |
|------------------------|-----------|---------|
| | | . 1 |

les of Knowledge Discovery in Data

University of Alberta 🛞 113

References

- R. Agrawal, J. Gehrke, D. Gunopulos, and P. Raghavan. Automatic subspace clustering of high dimensional data for data mining applications. SIGMOD'98, 94-105, Seattle, Washington, June 1998.
- M. Egenhofer. Spatial SQL : A query and presentation language. IEEE Trans. Knowledge and Data Engineering, 6:86-95, 1994.
- M. Ester, H.-P. Kriegel, J. Sander, and X. Xu. A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases. KDD'96, 226-231, Portland, Oregon, August 1996.
- M. Ester, H.-P. Kriegel, J. Sander, and X. Xu. Density-connected sets and their application for trend detection in spatial databases. KDD'97, 10-15, Newport Beach, California, August 1997.
- M. Ester, H.-P. Kriegel, and X. Xu. Knowledge discovery in large spatial databases: Focusing techniques for efficient class identification. SSD'95, 67-82, Portland, Maine, August 1995.
- S. Guha, R. Rastogi, and K. Shim. Cure: An efficient clustering algorithm for large databases. SIGMOD'98, 73-84, Seattle, Washington, June 1998.
- R. H. Gueting. An introduction to spatial database systems. The VLDB Journal, 3:357-400, 1994.
- J. Han, K. Koperski, and N. Stefanovic. GeoMiner: A system prototype for spatial data mining. SIGMOD'97, 553-556, Tucson, Arizona, May 1997.
- J. Han, N. Stefanovic, and K. Koperski. Selective materialization: An efficient method for spatial data cube construction. PAKDD'98, Melbourne, Australia, April 1998.

© Dr. Osmar R. Zaïane, 1999-2002

Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Data

University of Alberta (2). 1

References (cont.)

- E. Knorr and R. Ng. Finding aggregate proximity relationships and commonalities in spatial data mining. IEEE Trans. Knowledge and Data Engineering, 8:884-897, Dec. 1996.
- E. Knorr and R. Ng. Algorithms for mining distance-based outliers in large datasets. VLDB'98.
- K. Koperski and J. Han. Discovery of spatial association rules in geographic information databases. SSD'95, 47-66, Portland, Maine, Aug. 1995.
- K. Koperski, J. Han, and J. Adhikary. Mining knowledge in geographic data. In Comm. ACM, 1998.
- R. Laurini and D. Thompson. Fundamentals of Spatial Information Systems. Academic, 1992.
- W. Lu, J. Han, and B. C. Ooi. Knowledge discovery in large spatial databases. In Proc. Far East Workshop Geographic Information Systems, 275-289, Singapore, June 1993.
- R. Ng and J. Han. Efficient and effective clustering method for spatial data mining. VLDB'94, 144-155, Santiago, Chile, September 1994.
- T. Zhang, R. Ramakrishnan, and M. Livny. BIRCH: an efficient data clustering method for very large databases. SIGMOD'96, 103-114, Montreal, Canada, June 1996.
- X. Zhou, D. Truffet, and J. Han. Efficient polygon amalgamation methods for spatial olap and spatial data mining. SSD'99, Hong Kong, Aug. 1999.

